

Appendix: Resources, Innovation and Growth in the Global Economy

Pietro F. Peretto^a, Simone Valente^b

^a Duke University; ^b ETH Zürich.

12 July 2011

A Agents' Behavior and World General Equilibrium

Household problem: derivation of (11), (12), (13). In each instant t , each household solves the static problem

$$\max_{\{X_i^{Hj}, X_i^{Fj}\}} \log u^J \text{ s.t. } E^J/L^J = \int_0^{N^H} \left(P_i^H X_i^{Hj}/L^J \right) di + \int_0^{N^F} \left(P_i^F X_i^{Fj}/L^J \right) di,$$

where $J = H, F, j = h, f$. Denoting by \varkappa^H the Lagrange multiplier, the first-order conditions in H are

$$X_i^{Hh} = \frac{L^H (P_i^H)^{-\epsilon} \xi^\epsilon}{\left[\varkappa^H \int_0^{N^H} (X_i^{Hh}/L^H)^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^\epsilon} \text{ and } X_i^{Fh} = \frac{L^H (P_i^F)^{-\epsilon} (1-\xi)^\epsilon}{\left[\varkappa^H \int_0^{N^F} (X_i^{Fh}/L^H)^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^\epsilon}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Multiplying both sides of the first (second) equation by $P_i^H (P_i^F)$, integrating both sides across varieties, and eliminating \varkappa^H by means of the initial expressions in (A.1), we obtain

$$X_i^{Hh} = \frac{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hh} di}{\int_0^{N^H} (P_i^H)^{1-\epsilon} di} (P_i^H)^{-\epsilon} \text{ and } X_i^{Fh} = \frac{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Fh} di}{\int_0^{N^F} (P_i^F)^{1-\epsilon} di} (P_i^F)^{-\epsilon}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Taking the ratio between these two expressions and substituting X_i^{Hh} and X_i^{Fh} by means of (A.1), we have

$$\frac{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hh} di}{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Fh} di} = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

1 Following the same steps for F , we have

$$2 \quad X_i^{Hf} = \frac{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hf} di}{\int_0^{N^H} (P_i^H)^{1-\epsilon} di} (P_i^H)^{-\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad X_i^{Ff} = \frac{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Ff} di}{\int_0^{N^F} (P_i^F)^{1-\epsilon} di} (P_i^F)^{-\epsilon}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

3 and

$$4 \quad \frac{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hf} di}{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Ff} di} = \frac{1-\xi}{\xi}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

5 Market clearing yields the values of production in the two countries:

$$Y^H = \int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hh} di + \int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hf} di; \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$Y^F = \int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Fh} di + \int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Ff} di. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

6 From (A.3) and (2), we have $\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hh} di = \xi E^H$ and $\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Fh} di = (1-\xi) E^H$; from
 7 (A.5) and (2), we have $\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hf} di = (1-\xi) E^F$ and $\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Ff} di = \xi E^F$. Combining
 8 these with the constraints (A.6)-(A.7), we obtain (12).

9 Substituting (A.3) and (A.5) in (1), we obtain the indirect utility

$$10 \quad \tilde{u}^J (E^J/L^J) = \log \xi^\xi (1-\xi)^{1-\xi} + \log (E^J/L^J). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

11 In each country the household chooses the time path of expenditure (E^J/L^J) that maximizes
 12 $\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} \tilde{u}^J (E^J(t)/L^J) dt$ subject to the dynamic wealth constraint (4) re-written in terms
 13 of assets per capita. The logarithmic form (A.8) implies the standard Keynes-Ramsey rules
 14 (11).

15 From (A.2) and (A.4), we have:

$$\frac{X_i^{Hh}}{X_i^{Hf}} = \frac{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hh} di}{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hf} di} = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} \cdot \frac{E^H}{E^F}; \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\frac{X_i^{Fh}}{X_i^{Ff}} = \frac{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Fh} di}{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Ff} di} = \frac{1-\xi}{\xi} \cdot \frac{E^H}{E^F}, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

1 where the last terms follow from the derivation of (12) above. Hence:

$$X_i^{Hh} + X_i^{Hf} = X_i^{Hh} \left[1 + \frac{1-\xi}{\xi} \cdot \frac{E^F}{E^H} \right]; \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$X_i^{Ff} + X_i^{Fh} = X_i^{Ff} \left[1 + \frac{1-\xi}{\xi} \cdot \frac{E^H}{E^F} \right]. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

2 Using (A.2) and (A.4) to eliminate, respectively, X_i^{Hh} and X_i^{Ff} from the right-hand sides of
3 (A.11) and (A.12), we obtain:

$$X_i^{Hh} + X_i^{Hf} = \frac{\xi E^H + (1-\xi) E^F}{\int_0^{NH} (P_i^H)^{1-\epsilon} di} (P_i^H)^{-\epsilon} = (P_i^H)^{-\epsilon} \left[\frac{Y^H}{\int_0^{NH} (P_i^H)^{1-\epsilon} di} \right]; \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$X_i^{Ff} + X_i^{Fh} = \frac{\xi E^F + (1-\xi) E^H}{\int_0^{NF} (P_i^F)^{1-\epsilon} di} (P_i^F)^{-\epsilon} = (P_i^F)^{-\epsilon} \left[\frac{Y^F}{\int_0^{NF} (P_i^F)^{1-\epsilon} di} \right], \quad (\text{A.14})$$

4 where the left-hand sides represent the total demand for the i -th variety produced in country
5 H and F , respectively. Substituting $X_i^H = X_i^{Hh} + X_i^{Hf}$ and $X_i^F = X_i^{Ff} + X_i^{Fh}$ in (A.13)
6 and (A.14), respectively, we obtain the demand schedule (13). \square

7 *Manufacturing firms: the monopolist problem, derivation of (14) and (15).* The producer
8 of the i -th variety in country J solves the following problem. Given technology (5), the cost-
9 minimizing conditions over rival inputs, $L_{X_i}^J$ and M_i^J , yield $\frac{W^J}{P_M} = \frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \frac{M_i^J}{L_{X_i}^J - \phi}$, which in turn
10 yields total cost

$$W^J L_{X_i}^J + P_M M_i^J = W^J \phi + C_X^J(W^J, P_M) \cdot (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} X_i^J, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

12 where

$$C_X^J(W^J, P_M) \equiv (P_M)^\sigma (W^J)^{1-\sigma} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \right)^\sigma + \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right] \quad (\text{A.16})$$

14 is the standard unit-cost function homogeneous of degree one. From (A.15) instantaneous
15 profits $\Pi_{X_i}^J$ read $\left[P_i^J - C_X^J(W^J, P_M) \cdot (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} \right] X_i^J - W^J \phi$. Since the monopolist knows the
16 demand schedule (13), instantaneous profits can be written as

$$\Pi_{X_i}^J = \left[P_i^J - C_X^J(W^J, P_M) \cdot (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} \right] \partial^j (P_i^J)^{-\epsilon} - W^J \phi. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

1 where $\mathcal{D}^J \equiv [Y^J / \int_0^{N^J} (P_i^J)^{1-\epsilon} di]$ only contains aggregate variables and is therefore taken
 2 as given by the single monopolist. The problem is to maximize $V_i^J(t)$ defined in (6), with
 3 instantaneous profits given by (A.17). The problem reduces to a static one, where the first
 4 order condition determines the price-setting rule of each monopolist,

$$5 \quad P_i^J = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon - 1} C_X^J(W^J, P_M) \cdot (Z_i^J)^{-\theta}, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

6 which implies a positive mark-up of over the marginal cost. Given (A.16), the conditional
 7 factor demands for $L_{X_i}^J$ and M_i^J of each firm are

$$L_{X_i}^J = \phi + \frac{\partial C_X^J(W^J, P_M)}{\partial W^J} (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} X_i^J = \phi + (1 - \sigma) \frac{C_X^J(W^J, P_M)}{W^J} (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} X_i^J \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$M_i^J = \frac{\partial C_X^J(W^J, P_M)}{\partial P_M} (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} X_i^J = \sigma \frac{C_X^J(W^J, P_M)}{P_M} (Z_i^J)^{-\theta} X_i^J. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

8 Substituting $C_X^J(W^J, P_M) = \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} (Z_i^J)^\theta P_i^J$ from (A.18), equations (A.19)-(A.20) imply
 9 $W^J L_{X_i}^J = W^J \phi + (1 - \sigma) \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} P_i^J X_i^J$ and $P_M M_i^J = \sigma \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} P_i^J X_i^J$. Integrating across varieties in
 10 both these expressions yields (14).

11 Denote the rate of return to horizontal innovations as r_N^J . Time-differentiating V_i^J in (6)
 12 and imposing symmetry, we have $\frac{\dot{V}_i^J}{V_i^J} = r_N^J + \delta - \frac{\Pi_{X_i}^J}{V_i^J}$ and $\frac{\dot{V}_i^J}{V_i^J} = \frac{\dot{Y}^J}{Y^J} - \frac{\dot{N}^J}{N^J}$. Combining these
 13 expressions and solving for r_N^J gives

$$r_N^J = \frac{\dot{Y}^J}{Y^J} - \frac{\dot{N}^J}{N^J} + \frac{\Pi_{X_i}^J(t)}{V_i^J(t)} - \delta,$$

14 where we can substitute $\Pi_{X_i}^J(t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{Y^J}{N^J} - W^J \phi$ from (A.17)-(A.18), as well as $V_i^J = \beta Y^J / N^J$
 15 from (6), to obtain (15). \square

16 *Resource-processing in Home: derivation of (16)-(17).* In the resource-processing sector,
 17 the cost-minimizing conditions over L_M and R yield $\frac{W^H}{p} = \frac{\varsigma}{1-\varsigma} \left(\frac{R}{L_M} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$. The associated cost
 18 function is

$$19 \quad C_M(W^H, p) = \left[(\varsigma)^\tau (W^H)^{1-\tau} + (1 - \varsigma)^\tau p^{1-\tau} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\tau}}, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

1 and the conditional factor demands for raw resource and labor read

$$\begin{aligned}
 pR &= \frac{\partial C_M(W^H, p)}{\partial p} \frac{p}{C_M(W^H, p)} P_M M = S_M^R(W^H, p) \cdot P_M M, \\
 W^H L_M &= \frac{\partial C_M(W^H, p)}{\partial W^H} \frac{W^H}{C_M(W^H, p)} P_M M = S_M^L(W^H, p) \cdot P_M M,
 \end{aligned}$$

2 where we have defined the elasticities of $C_M(\cdot, \cdot)$ to resource price and wage as $S_M^R(W^H, p)$
 3 and $S_M^L(p, W^H)$, respectively. Recalling that $S_M^L(W^H, p) = 1 - S_M^R(W^H, p)$, the above
 4 expressions yield (16). Log-differentiating (A.21) we have (17). \square

5 *Proof of Proposition 1.* Recalling that the global demand for the intermediate is $M =$
 6 $M^H + M^F$, the first expression in (14) implies $P_M M = \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} (Y^H + Y^F)$. Substituting this
 7 equation in the first expression in (16), and imposing the market-clearing condition $R = \Omega$,
 8 we obtain

$$9 \quad p\Omega = S_M^R(W^H, p) \cdot \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} (Y^H + Y^F). \quad (\text{A.22})$$

10 Since $(1 - \xi)$ is the share of expenditures on imported goods in both countries, the balanced
 11 trade condition (10) can be re-written as $P_M M^F = (1 - \xi) E^H - (1 - \xi) E^F$. Substituting
 12 $P_M M^F = \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} Y^F$ from (14), we have

$$13 \quad \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} Y^F = (1 - \xi) E^H - (1 - \xi) E^F. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

14 From (12), substituting $Y^F = \xi E^F + (1 - \xi) E^H$ in (A.23) we obtain the first expression in
 15 (18). Substituting $(E^F/E^H)_*$ back in (A.23) to eliminate E^F we have

$$16 \quad E^H/Y^F = 1 + \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi}. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

17 Combining $(E^F/E^H)_*$ in (18) with (A.24), we obtain $(E^F/Y^F)_* = 1 - \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon}$, which is the
 18 second expression in (19). From (12), we also have $Y^H = \xi E^H + (1 - \xi) E^F$, where E^F
 19 can be substituted by means of $(E^F/E^H)_*$ in (18) to obtain $(E^H/Y^H)_*$, that is, the first
 20 expression in (19). Combining this result with (A.24) yields the second expression in (18).

21 \square

1 B Resource Booms in World Equilibrium

Production, Wages and Resource Price: derivation of (20), (21), (22), (23). Equation (20) follows directly from the second expression in (18). Equation (22) is derived as follows. Using $\Pi_M = 0$ and the free-entry condition $N^H V_i^H = W^H \beta Y^H$, we rewrite the wealth constraint (4) as $\frac{\dot{N}^H}{N^H} + \frac{\dot{V}_i^H}{V_i^H} = r^H + \frac{L^H}{\beta Y^H} + \frac{p\Omega}{N^H V_i^H} - \frac{E^H}{N^H V_i^H}$. Using $\frac{\dot{V}_i^H}{V_i^H} = \frac{\dot{Y}^H}{Y^H} - \frac{\dot{N}^H}{N^H}$ from the free entry condition, we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{Y}^H}{Y^H} = r^H + \frac{W^H L^H}{\beta Y^H} + \frac{p\Omega}{\beta Y^H} - \frac{E^H}{\beta Y^H}.$$

2 Substituting $r^H = (\dot{E}^H/E^H) + \rho$ from (11), and recalling that $\dot{E}^H/E^H = \dot{Y}^H/Y^H$ from (18),
3 we have

$$4 \quad \frac{E^H}{Y^H} = \beta\rho + \frac{W^H L^H}{Y^H} + \frac{p\Omega}{Y^H}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

5 Solving this expression for Y^H yields (22). Equation (21) is obtained following the same
6 steps for country F : since Foreign has no resource endowment, the analogous expression
7 of (B.1) for $J = F$ is $(E^F/Y^F)_* = \beta\rho + W^F L^F/Y^F$, from which we have (21). Finally,
8 re-writing (A.22) as $p\Omega = S_M^R(1, p) \cdot \sigma^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} (Y^H + Y^F)/L^H$, and using (20) to eliminate Y^F ,
9 we obtain (23). \square

Proof of Proposition 2. Equations (20)-(23) form a static system of four equations in four unknowns determining constant equilibrium values $E_*^H, Y_*^H, E_*^F, Y_*^F$. Differentiating (24) we obtain $d(\Omega p_*)/d\Omega$ in expression (25). Equation (17) then implies that the price elasticity of demand for the raw resource,

$$\epsilon_M^R(1, p) \equiv 1 - \frac{dS_M^R(1, p_*)}{dp_*} \frac{p_*}{S_M^R(1, p_*)},$$

10 is less than (greater than, equal to) unity if τ is less than (greater than, equal to) unity. The
11 expressions for $dY_*^H/d\Omega$, $dY_*^F/d\Omega$, $dW_*^F/d\Omega$ in (27) follow directly from (20), (21) and (22).

12 \square

Horizontal innovation and resource booms: derivation of (28). Plugging $r_N^J = r = \rho$ and setting $\dot{Y}^J = 0$ in (15), we have

$$\frac{\dot{N}^J(t)}{N^J(t)} = -(\rho + \delta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \phi \cdot \frac{N^J(t) W^J(t)}{Y^J(t)} \right],$$

1 which can be re-arranged to yield (28). \square

2 *Proof of Proposition 3.* The proof follows immediately from Proposition 2: see the text
3 above Proposition 3. \square

4 *Reallocation of labor in home: derivation of (31), (32), (33).* Denoting total employment
5 in start-up operations by $L_N^J = (\dot{N}^J + \delta N^J) \cdot L_{N_i}^J$, the growth rate of the number of firms
6 implied by the free entry condition (7) is

$$7 \quad \frac{\dot{N}^J(t)}{N^J(t)} = \frac{W^J(t) L_N^J(t)}{\beta Y^J(t)} - \delta. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

8 where we can substitute $\dot{N}^J(t)/N^J(t)$ by (28) to obtain

$$9 \quad L_N^J(t) = \frac{1 - \beta\epsilon\rho}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{Y^J(t)}{W^J(t)} - \phi \cdot N^J(t). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

10 Setting $J = H$ and $W_*^H = 1$, we obtain (31). Setting $W_*^H = 1$ in the second equation in (14),
11 we obtain (32). Substituting (31)-(32) in the market clearing condition $L_M = L^H - L_X^H - L_N^H$
12 we obtain (33). \square

13 *Total factor productivity, growth and welfare: derivation of (34)-(38).* Imposing sym-
14 metry in (13) and substituting pricing rule (A.18), we obtain (34). Substituting (34) in
15 (1) yields (35). Using (20) to eliminate from Υ^J the value of manufacturing production in
16 country $K \neq J$, we obtain (36). Defining $\Delta^J \equiv (N_*^J/N_0^J) - 1$, the innovation rate (29) can
17 be re-written as

$$18 \quad N^J(t) = N_0^J \frac{1 + \Delta^J}{1 + \Delta^J e^{-\nu t}} \text{ in each } t. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

By definition of TFP, we have $T^J(t) = (Z_i^J(t))^\theta (N^J(t))^{\frac{1}{\epsilon-1}}$, where we can substitute (B.4) together with $Z_i^J/Z_i^J = z$, to get

$$\log T^J(t) = \log T^J(0) + \theta\kappa t + \frac{1}{\epsilon-1} \log \left(\frac{1 + \Delta^J}{1 + \Delta^J e^{-\nu t}} \right).$$

1 Without loss of generality, we can approximate $\log \frac{1+\Delta^J}{1+\Delta^J e^{-\nu t}} \simeq \Delta^J (1 - e^{-\nu t})$ and thus write
 2 $\log T^J(t)$ as in (37). Substituting (36) and (37) in (35), we obtain (38). \square

3 *Proof of Proposition 4.* Substituting the equilibrium level of instantaneous utility (38) in
 4 the welfare function (3) we have

$$5 \quad U^J = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} [\log \Upsilon_*^J + \xi \log T^J(t) + (1 - \xi) \log T^K(t)] dt. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

6 Integration of (37) yields

$$7 \quad \int_0^\infty \log T^J(t) e^{-\rho t} dt = \frac{1}{\rho} \log T^J(0) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \theta \kappa + \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\nu}{(\rho + \nu)(\epsilon - 1)} \cdot \Delta^J. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

8 Substituting (B.6) in (B.5), and setting $\log T^J(0) = 0$ without loss of generality, we obtain
 9 (39). \square

10 C The Role of Trade: Introducing Tariffs

11 Suppose that both countries impose tariffs on imported goods. We denote by α_h (α_f)
 12 the ad-valorem tariff imposed by the Home (Foreign) government on the units of imported
 13 consumption goods produced by Foreign (Home), and by α_m the ad-valorem tariff imposed by
 14 the Foreign government on imported resource-based intermediates produced in Home. The
 15 tariffs on manufacturing goods α_h and α_f modify the consumers' expenditure constraints
 16 and imply, after utility maximization, the following rules for expenditure allocation

$$17 \quad Y^H = \xi \cdot E^H + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \cdot E^F \quad \text{and} \quad Y^F = \xi \cdot E^F + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_h} \cdot E^H. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

1 The tariff on resource-based intermediates α_m , instead, modifies the conditional demand of
 2 Foreign so that

$$3 \quad P_M M^H = \sigma \cdot \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \cdot Y^H \text{ and } P_M M^F (1 + \alpha_m) = \sigma \cdot \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} Y^F. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

4 The balanced trade condition thus reads

$$5 \quad \underbrace{\frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \cdot \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} Y^F}_{P_M M^F} = \underbrace{\frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_h} \cdot E^H}_{\int_0^{N^F} P_i^F X_i^{Fh} di} - \underbrace{\frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \cdot E^F}_{\int_0^{N^H} P_i^H X_i^{Hf} di}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

6 Following the same steps as in the proof of Proposition 1, we obtain the modified ratios

$$\left(\frac{E^F}{E^H} \right)_* = \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_h} \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \cdot \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon}}{\frac{\xi}{1 + \alpha_m} \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f}}, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$$\left(\frac{Y^F}{Y^H} \right)_* = \frac{\xi \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \left[\xi^2 - \frac{(1 - \xi)^2}{(1 + \alpha_h)(1 + \alpha_f)} \right] + \left(\xi + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_h} \right) \cdot \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f}}{\left(\xi + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_h}}, \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$\left(\frac{E^H}{Y^H} \right)_* = \frac{\frac{\xi}{1 + \alpha_m} \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f}}{\frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \left[\xi^2 - \frac{(1 - \xi)^2}{(1 + \alpha_h)(1 + \alpha_f)} \right] + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \left(\xi + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_h} \right)}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\left(\frac{E^F}{Y^F} \right)_* = \frac{1 - \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \cdot \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon}}{\xi + \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \alpha_f}}, \quad (\text{C.7})$$

7 where $\xi^2 - (1 - \xi)^2 = 2(\xi - \frac{1}{2}) > 0$ implies that the term in square brackets is strictly
 8 positive,

$$9 \quad \left[\xi^2 - \frac{(1 - \xi)^2}{(1 + \alpha_h)(1 + \alpha_f)} \right] > 0. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

10 As a consequence of (C.8), the effects of the tariff on intermediates, α_m , are

$$11 \quad \frac{\partial(E^F/E^H)}{\partial \alpha_m} > 0 \quad \frac{\partial(Y^F/Y^H)}{\partial \alpha_m} < 0 \quad \frac{\partial(E^H/Y^H)}{\partial \alpha_m} < 0 \quad \frac{\partial(E^F/Y^F)}{\partial \alpha_m} > 0 \quad (\text{C.9})$$

12 Rewriting (C.6) as

$$13 \quad \frac{E^H}{Y^H} = \left[\xi + \frac{(1 - \xi)^2}{1 + \alpha_h} \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \cdot \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon}}{\xi \frac{1 + \alpha_f}{1 + \alpha_m} \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} + (1 - \xi)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

1 we also obtain $\frac{\partial(E^H/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_h} > 0$ and $\frac{\partial(E^H/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_f} > 0$. Rewriting (C.5) as

$$2 \quad \frac{Y^H}{Y^F} = \frac{(1 + \alpha_h) \xi \left(\frac{\xi}{1 + \alpha_m} \sigma^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} + \frac{1-\xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \right) + \frac{(1-\xi)^2}{1 + \alpha_f} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \cdot \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \right)}{(1 - \xi) \left(\xi + \frac{1-\xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \right)}, \quad (\text{C.11})$$

3 we have $\frac{\partial(Y^F/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_h} > 0$. As a consequence, the effects of the tariffs on manufacturing goods,
4 α_h and α_f , read

$$5 \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\partial(E^F/E^H)}{\partial\alpha_h} < 0 & \frac{\partial(Y^F/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_h} > 0 & \frac{\partial(E^H/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_h} > 0 & \frac{\partial(E^F/Y^F)}{\partial\alpha_h} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial(E^F/E^H)}{\partial\alpha_f} > 0 & \frac{\partial(Y^F/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_f} = ? & \frac{\partial(E^H/Y^H)}{\partial\alpha_f} > 0 & \frac{\partial(E^F/Y^F)}{\partial\alpha_f} > 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{C.12})$$

6 where the sign of $\partial(Y^F/Y^H)/\partial\alpha_f$ is ambiguous.

7 Notice that, by (C.2), the total value of intermediate production now reads

$$8 \quad P_M M = P_M M^H + P_M M^F = \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \left(Y^H + \frac{Y^F}{1 + \alpha_m} \right), \quad (\text{C.13})$$

9 On the basis of (C.4)-(C.7) and (C.13), and recalling that $pR = S_M^R(W^H, p) \cdot P_M M$, we can
10 recalculate the four-equations static system (20)-(23) augmented by the presence of tariffs –
11 and thus determine manufacturing production values, resource price and Foreign wage:

$$\frac{Y^F}{Y^H} = \frac{\xi \frac{\sigma}{1 + \alpha_m} \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \left[\xi^2 - \frac{(1-\xi)^2}{(1 + \alpha_h)(1 + \alpha_f)} \right] + \left(\xi + \frac{1-\xi}{1 + \alpha_h} \right) \cdot \frac{1-\xi}{1 + \alpha_f}}{\left(\xi + \frac{1-\xi}{1 + \alpha_f} \right) \frac{1-\xi}{1 + \alpha_h}} \equiv \check{\mu} \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$$W^F = \frac{(E^F/Y^F)_* - \beta\rho}{L^F} Y^F \quad (\text{C.15})$$

$$Y^H = \frac{L^H + p\Omega}{(E^H/Y^H)_* - \beta\rho} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$p\Omega = S_M^R(1, p) \sigma \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{\check{\mu}}{1 + \alpha_m} \right) \cdot Y^H \quad (\text{C.17})$$

12 where $\check{\mu} \equiv Y^F/Y^H$ is the production ratio defined in (C.14). In particular, combining (C.17)

13 with (C.16), we obtain

$$14 \quad p\Omega = \frac{L^H \cdot S_M^R(1, p)}{\check{\kappa} - S_M^R(1, p)}, \quad \check{\kappa} \equiv \frac{(E^H/Y^H)_* - \beta\rho}{\sigma^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \left(1 + \frac{\check{\mu}}{1 + \alpha_m} \right)}, \quad (\text{C.18})$$

1 which is essentially expression (24) augmented for the presence of tariffs. We can now analyze
 2 the comparative static effects of variations in the tariff levels.

3 *Variation in α_h .* Suppose that the Home government increases α_h . From (C.9), there
 4 is a decrease in $\check{\mu} \equiv Y^F/Y^H$. From (C.10), there is also an increase in $(E^H/Y^H)_*$. Hence,
 5 the net effect on $\check{\kappa}$ is positive. This implies a reduction in p in (C.18). Considering (C.16),
 6 the reduction in p combined with the increase in $(E^H/Y^H)_*$ implies a decrease in the value
 7 of Home's manufacturing production, Y_*^H . This implies that the asymptotic mass of firms
 8 in Home, N_*^H , decreases, that is, a negative effect on TFP growth in Home. Considering
 9 Foreign: by (C.9), the increase in α_h does not modify $(E^F/Y^F)_*$. Hence, considering (C.15),
 10 the wage-to-production ratio W^F/Y^F is unaffected and there is no effect on Foreign TFP
 11 growth.

12 *Variation in α_f .* Suppose that the Foreign government increases α_f . From (C.10), there
 13 is an increase in $(E^H/Y^H)_*$. From (C.11), however, the effect on $\check{\mu}$ is ambiguous. Hence,
 14 the net effect on $\check{\kappa}$ is ambiguous. This implies ambiguous effects on Home's production and
 15 TFP growth. Considering Foreign: by (C.9), the increase in α_f increases $(E^F/Y^F)_*$. Hence,
 16 considering (C.15), the wage-to-production ratio W^F/Y^F increases. This implies a reduction
 17 in the asymptotic mass of firms in Foreign, N_*^F , and therefore a negative effect on Foreign
 18 TFP growth.

19 *Variation in α_m .* Suppose that the Foreign government increases α_m . From (C.9), there is
 20 a decrease in $\check{\mu}$ and a decrease in $(E^H/Y^H)_*$. Hence, the net effect on $\check{\kappa}$ is ambiguous. This
 21 implies ambiguous effects on Home's production and TFP growth. Now consider (C.15):
 22 since $(E^F/Y^F)_*$ increases (by (C.9)), the wage-to-production ratio in Foreign, W^F/Y^F ,
 23 increases. This implies a reduction in the asymptotic mass of firms in Foreign, N_*^F , and
 24 therefore a negative effect on TFP growth in Foreign.